



FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE DES SOCIÉTÉS DE
PHILOSOPHIE INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF
PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETIES

www.fisp.org

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE STEERING COMMITTEE

Fujairah, February 22-23, 2025

(February 22: 10.15-16.00; February 23: 9.30 -12.30)

The CD-meeting took place in the Main Hall at the Philosophy House in Fujairah.

SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 22: 10.15-16.00

10:15-10:30 1. The Steering committee of FISP were delighted to hear the warm Welcome Speech by AHMED SAIF SULTAN AL SAMAH, Manager of the Philosophy House. FISP-President HEISOOK KIM responded by expressing the gratitude of FISP of being invited to have our CD-Meeting in Fujairah. Members of the CD expressed their thankfulness for the meeting in Fujairah.

AGENDA

After this introduction the CD-meeting was conducted following the previously distributed Agenda.

10.30 2. **Verification of proxies**
The meeting began with the verification of proxies. Proxies were in good order. Received proxies sent to the Secretary General for the meeting in Fujairah included the following:

SOULEYMANE BACHIR DIAGNE to ANKE GRANESS
ADRIANO FABRIS to LUCA MARIA SCARANTINO
EMIDIO SPINELLI to FABRIZIA GIULIANI
EMMANUEL PICAVET to JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF
GABRIEL VARGAS LOZANO to JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF

A few other proxies were recognized at the meeting. Those additional proxies were verified.

3. Approval of the minutes of the Rome meeting (Aug 6)
The minutes of the CD-meeting in Rome were approved without changes. However, the minutes are not yet available on the FISP-website. This was recognized with the wish to change this in connection with update of the website.

10:30-10:45 4. **Report of the President**
The report of the HEISOOK KIM, FISP president was acknowledged and approved by the CD-members. The written report available as appendix to these minutes.

5. Report of the Secretary-General

The report of the Secretary-General JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF was acknowledged and approved by the CD-members. The written report available as appendix to these minutes.

11:00-11:20

After the Reports of the President and the Secretary-General followed a debate about how to improve the communication and website of FISP. Prof. VILA-CHÃ, Prof. VILLARMEA, and Prof BAGHRAMIAN discussed about the necessity to update the website and potential change of the website, and using the website as a platform and communication tool, both with each other and with the rest of the world. Prof. DEVARAKONDA suggested a brainstorming session to strengthen the FISP. Prof. GRANESS suggested including a smartphone friendly website. This discussion led to the proposal to create and form a Communication Committee: a working group that has the aim to define what should be on the website. Immediately after the discussion this item at the agenda was concluded with proposals for volunteers who wanted to take part of a Communication Committee, including Prof. DEVARAKONDA, Prof. SANTHA-ANAND, Prof. BILETZKI, and Prof. VILA-CHÃ who was willing to serve as chair of this Communication Committee.

11.20-11.35

6) Report of the Treasurer; updated list of member societies.

The report of the Secretary-General GERHARD SEEL was acknowledged and approved by the CD-members. The written report available as appendix to these minutes. The report of the Treasurer included an updated list of member societies. The report of the treasurer is added as appendix to the minutes.

11:35-12:30

General remarks.

After the presentation of the report of the Treasurer followed a discussion of the financial situation of FISP.

Prof. VILLARMEA initiated the discussion of how to deal with non-active members and asked how we can get the list of the non-active members. The treasurer will work on providing such a list and he will try to get into contact with non-active members. However, it is a general policy to be benevolent to such societies since FISP wants to protect its members and promote future activities among members.

Prof. DE CARO asked about how to get the money that had not yet arrived on the FISP-account due to problems of bank-transfer. This problem was of high priority by the treasurer and the FISP-bureau that would be working on solving this problem.

Prof. MURPHY expresses his enthusiasm to bring philosophy to the Arab world and there was a general agreement about the importance of the relation to the Philosophy House. In that context, it was emphasized an important aim of the collaboration with the Philosophy House was to propose philosophy in the Arab world and Arab philosophy in the world.

Prof. SATHA-ANAND raised the question about what FISP really has to give back to member societies. In this context, it became clear that it is important that FISP represents activities that all members can be a part of.

Prof. VILLARMEA asked the question of what the aim of the use of the rest of the money of the Philosophy House was. Prof. SCARANTINO explained that parts of the donation of the Philosophy house has been used to finance the Journal *Diogenes*, to sponsor the World Congress in Rome, and that other parts should be used to promote Arabic philosophy in the world and to organize philosophical symposia and meetings in relation to the Philosophy House with global participation of philosophers.

Prof. DEVARAKONDA mentioned that there may be the category of non-active members, and the membership fee was very expensive for some member societies. It is important to consider that not all parts of the world have the same buying power. Prof. GRANESS stated

that African societies of philosophy may need lower fee and was also concerned with regard to a potential reduced member fee for disfavored countries. To this it was stated that FISP already has an economic differentiation policy about membership fees.

Prof. VILA-CHÂ discussed the problem of transparency of the accounts. It was proposed that FISP should make a clear difference between expenses and investments in the accounts. The flow of money should be clearer. It was also proposed that societies and members with low income could be invited to CD-FISP-meeting with support from FISP if it would be necessary. It is an important principle to be patient about payment of member fees by member societies. Prof. PRESBEY asked about inactive societies and whether FISP gives them a chance if they can't pay the membership. It was considered important to give them options and possibilities to rejoin FISP if they were excluded.

Treasurer prof. GERHARD SEEL summarized the debate by answering to all the questions and discussion points. Prof. SEEL emphasized that the list of member societies was attached to the report of the treasurer. FISP will fix the technical problem of transfer of the money. FISP has a special account for donations. Prof. SEEL will in the future make in the report of the Treasurer make a clear distinction between expenditures and investments. The treasurer is authorized to reduce the fees paid by a society in exceptional circumstances which is an option that has been used occasionally. As mentioned by Prof. SCARANTINO and confirmed by SEEL, FISP is in a healthy economic position with healthy finances.

12:30-13:30 Lunch Break

13.30-14.10 7) Issues from the 25th World Congress

i. Comments for evaluation

Prof. RENDTORFF presented the topic of evaluation of the 25th World Congress in Rome. At issue was what FISP can learn from this congress for future conferences. Past-president Prof. SCARANTINO mentioned that there was 5700 registered, but approximately 5000 participants. I may be a question whether we have reached the maximum number of participants to have a manageable congress. Prof. SEEL argued that the key to success of new World Congress of Philosophy in Tokyo is the financing of the Congress. It is important to start with good financial grounds right from the beginning and it is important to have the financial and economic basis of the congress ready from the beginning. Prof. BAGHRAMIAN raised the question whether the congress in Rome was too big.

Prof. SEEL and Prof. RENDTORFF raised the problem of the fact that the treasurer had not yet received the final accounts of the World Congress in Rome. It is important that FISP receives this as soon as possible, not least as basis for the financial planning of the next world congress.

Prof. DANCA raised the problem of translation and language of the conference. There was the problem of language issue for some talks/conferences: they were announced in one language yet given in another. Another problem raised by Prof. MURPHY was the percentage of no shows of the conference. To this Prof. SCARANTINO replied that it in Rome was 6%, which was significantly lower than it was the case of the world congresses in Athens and Beijing. An important critical point raised by Prof. MURPHY was the comments that there was no public place for people to gather. Maybe we could have a gathering spot in Japan that people would know about. Prof. SCARANTINO raised the point of the need to make it easy for participants with required Visa to travel to Japan. It may be important for the local organizers to have good contact with Japanese authorities to facilitate this point.

In this context Prof. GIULIANI emphasized that a key word to a successful congress of is good cooperation and work with help and sponsorships from different institutions in society. Prof. JONKERS raised the problem of translation. There was agreement that the use of technical computer-based translations worked reasonably well and was much

cheaper than the use of human translators. This method of translation can well be used as a model for the next world congress. There was a proposal from Prof. KOLEV to have some sessions online. This could involve some of the sessions for example the opening ceremony the closing session and some important symposia. The topic was generally viewed as important, even though CD-members emphasized that the congress is first and foremost an event of physical participation. However, a few online sessions or filmed sessions may work as proposition or branding of FISP.

Prof. PIHLSTRÖM raised the problem of few systematic possibilities for young people to use the congress to get a new jobs and contacts for careers. Young people don't feel like participating in the Congress will help their academic career. How can we change that? Prof. VILLARMEA pointed here to the fact that idea that among young academics, participation in the WCP is becoming less interesting for their career. We should address it not only for young scholars but for our colleagues too.

Prof. VILA-CHÃ emphasized that the cooperative aspect should be aligned. We should not fall into the trap of competing for numbers, as an organization we should stand on the side of quality rather than quantity. Prof. BENDOUDA emphasized the need for translation in Arabic, maybe to announce the conference in a particular language to target a specific Arabic audience to attract more Arabic scholars.

Prof. SATHA-ANAND emphasized that it is important that the attendance is well registered. It should not be ignored that attendance is important for careers. Once you have been a speaker, you can print a certificate, and you can use that for your promotion. Therefore, it is important to make sure, that only people who have participated will get a certificate. We must see that honesty is preserved. Only the ones who do speak should receive this certificate. Someone should be given the responsibility to control that only those who submitted/presented a paper receive this certificate.

Prof. BERMUDEZ BARRERA proposed with support from many CD-members additionally to change the period between world congresses from 5 to 4 years. Considering that: the current globalized world is very different in its relations from that of 1948, when FISP proposed to hold the World Congress every 5 years, Prof. BERMUDEZ BARRERA submitted the proposal for the consideration of the Steering Committee the proposal to hold the World Congress of Philosophy every 4 years (and 3 years could even be considered). This would be more in line with today's academic mobility and exchange and would bring older and younger generations closer to sharing with their colleagues around the world more quickly and frequently. This proposal will be discussed at the next CD-meeting in Brazil.

In conclusion, the evaluation of the World Congress in Rome pointed to the following attention points: 1) The congress should be well aligned with the number of participants. 2) We should work to avoid and reduce no shows so people who do not attend are still in the program or get certificates of attendance. 3) It is important to create a space where participants can gather for meetings and social encounters 4) The congress should be more open for receptions and events by member societies and sponsors to facilitate social interaction 5) The congress should create a career space for young scholars and institutions to meet each other for employment purposes. 6) Technical translation worked well and will be introduced at the 26th World Congress in Tokyo. However, in some cases, there may be physical translations. 7) The congress will be a physical event with only very few online sessions, if any. 8) The proposal of moving from holding world congresses from each 5 year to year 4 year was favorably received by many CD-members and will be discussed in detail at the next CD-meeting in Brazil. 9) we are still waiting for the financial accounts from the World Congress in Rome. The FISP CD urges the organizers to provide this as soon as possible.

ii. Proceedings from the 25th World Congress

The topic of the form of publication of the proceedings of the 25th World Congress was discussed. Prof. SUH emphasized the importance of publication of papers and presentations

from the most successful symposia. Prof. RENDTORFF raised the problem of the fact that roundtable presentations and invited sessions and some society meetings never find their way to the published proceedings. This should be an attention point for the next World Congress in Japan. Prof. RENDTORFF also explained how the Philosophy Documentation Center has been putting papers from most world congresses online. Prof. DE CARO mentioned the cooperation with the Philosophy Documentation Center and emphasized that papers will be published with them. However, there the question to which extent papers should be revised before publishing. The answer was that basic copy-editing may be an option, but additional peer-review would not be possible. Prof. PRESBEY emphasized that since the world congress in Rome had 92 themes. They should be organized according to each theme. There was general agreement about this structure, which would be followed by the publication committee of the World Congress in Rome.

14:10

8) Preparation of the WCP 2028:

Early issues concerning the tentative structure and dates of the Congress

Memorandum of agreement with the Japanese Association of Philosophy

Presentation of the Japanese Organizing Committee (if any)

Prof. RENDTORFF introduced the item 8 of the agenda. And he invited Prof. NOBURU to present the state of the art of the organization of the next world congress of philosophy (WCP Tokyo 2028). The dates of the congress will be **August 16th to 23rd 2028 (already agreed with university but 1-2 day flexible)**. The venue of the congress will be the **Hongo Campus. The University of Tokyo**.

PROF. RENDTORFF presented the draft memorandum of agreement with the Japanese organization committee. This memorandum was reviewed by Prof. NOBURU and after discussions on some key points the memorandum of Agreement has been concluded and this memorandum of agreement will be signed in agreement with The University of Tokyo in Japan at moment of the meeting of the program committee in September 2025. The Memorandum of agreement will be distributed to CD-members when it has been signed in September 2025.

Choice of the general theme of the Congress

14 :40

Prof. NOBURU presented the discussions of the Japanese Organizing committee on the general theme of the world congress. It was emphasized that the theme of "Dialogue" had never been the theme of a world congress by FISP in the past. The presentation by Prof. NOBURU initiated an enriching discussion by the FISP-CD and CD-members proposed many different versions of the key topics of the world congress, based on the framework that was proposed by Prof. NOBURU. This discussion took the rest of the meeting on this day and CD-members were invited to discuss the topic during the evening and to send proposals to the Secretary General during the evening before making the general decision the following day:

Proposals included the following.

Prof. VILA-CHÃ: Peace, Dialogue and Place. The contributions of World Philosophy

Prof. MURPHY : Dialogue as a place for peace

Prof. MURPHY: Open Dialogue in World Philosophy

Prof. BILETZKI: Philosophy : a place for peace

Prof. SEON-WOOK: "Placing Peace: Toward World Philosophy"

Prof. VILA-CHÃ: Peace, Dialogue, and Place: The Multiple Contexts of WorldPhilosophy.

Prof. BERMÚDEZ BARRERA: Open Dialogue in World Philosophy

- Philosophy: towards Harmony
- Peace and the Future of Humanities
- Open Dialogue in World Philosophy

Prof. NOBURU: "World Philosophy as Space for Open Dialogue(s)".

After the discussion, the CD-meeting moved to the next item of the Agenda Nomination of the Scientific committee of the Congress, called Programme Committee. (The Programme committee consists of the following members a. one Chair of the program committee b. five members appointed by the national OrgCom, five members elected by the FISP-CD, and 4 Ex officio members: President of FISP, Secretary General of FISP, Past President of FISP and Treasurer of FISP.)

The nominated candidates for the programme committee were the following: BENDOUDA, MALIKA; BERMÚDES BARRERA, EDUARDO; DE CARO, MARIO; DEVARAKONDA, BALANGANAPATHI; GIUSTI, MIGUEL; GRANESS, ANKE; JONKERS, PETER; PICAVET, EMMANUEL; SALLES, JOÃO CARLOS; THORGEIRSDOTTIR, SIGRIDUR; VILA-CHÃ, JOÃO J.; VILLARMEA, STELLA; WANG, ROBIN; YU-SUK, SUH

After election where each CD-member could vote for five candidates, Prof. DE CARO; Prof. GRANESS; Prof. THORGEIRSDOTTIR; Prof. SALLES and Prof. WANG were elected.

President KIM nominated afterwards Prof. KALTENBACHER as Chair of the Programme Committee.

16 :00 End of the Session for the Day. The Philosophy House prepared an excursion for CD-members to sightseeing in Fujairah.

SUNDAY, FEBRUARY 23rd: 9.30 -12.30.

09:00-09:45 Continuation of the discussion about the title of the next world congress of philosophy in Tokyo. Prof. HEISOOK the direction of the discussion. After an intense debate the FISP-CD agreed to select the **'Philosophy as a place for open dialogue'**. After this, all the items of point 8 were concluded.

9) Membership applications (including sponsorships of conferences in name of FISP).

09:45-09:00 Prof. RENDTORFF stated that there have been no new applications. Rendtorff reminded the CD about the membership application form, including the criteria of becoming a FISP-member. There have been several requests for information about criteria for membership, but now formal applications.

In continuation of the discussion of criteria for membership there was a discussion of the criteria for support of conferences by FISP. Later following an email exchange in the bureau, prof. RENDTORFF proposed the following criteria for evaluating requests for conference supportive sponsorships:

1. Scientific philosophical quality of the conference 2. Membership of FISP 3. Involvement of FISP-member societies 4. Involvement of individual members of FISP 5. General importance for philosophy in the world. It was suggested that FISP asks conferences and societies who ask for sponsorships to clarify their position in relation to these evaluation criteria. Final decision may of course be a matter of judgment of applications.

10) Archives of FISP

Prof. SCARANTINO mentioned that the archives of FISP are kept in Dusseldorf, since the Düsseldorf congress Years ago. We received the catalogue of the documents, but we have never been there and visit the archives. FISP-CD needs to follow up about the archives at upcoming meetings.

09:50-10:10 20 min break

11) Work of FISP Consultative Committees

Constitution of New Committees after the renewal of the CD in Rome

1. The Committee on General Policy
2. The Committee on Teaching Philosophy
3. The Committee on the Ethics of Science and Technologies, especially Bioethics
4. The Committee on Intercultural Research in Philosophy
5. The Gender Committee
6. The Committee on International Cooperation and Philosophical Encounters
7. The Committee on Human Rights
8. The Committee on Aesthetics
9. The Committee on Communication

In addition, the Communication Committee was constituted.

Prof. RENDTORFF opened the discussion of the renewal of the members of the Committee and asked for reports from the situation of the different committees.

1. **The Committee on General Policy.** Prof. KALTENBACHER reported from the work this committee. It had investigated the bylaws, and the rules for election. Members of the steering committee are elected until the next period. This had been the basis for proposals for the general assembly of FISP to regulate statutes and bylaws. Prof. SEEL mentioned that it is important to remind that the function of these committees is purely consultative, giving advice to the Secretary General, to the president, and the bureau: it is the CD that decides, the latter has the authority to make the final decisions.
2. **The Committee on Teaching Philosophy.** Prof. KOLEV presented an update of the activities of this committee.
3. **The Committee on the Ethics of Science and Technologies, especially Bioethics.** Prof. RENDTORFF presented the activities of this committee. Especially concerning the activities on the world congress, where there has been a special roundtable of this committee. Prof. BAGHRAMIAN emphasized the importance of the ethics of AI and the necessity to discuss this in the committee. Thus, AI was added as a key research topic of the committee. After the discussion, the committee was constituted with the following members: EMMANUEL PICAVET (CHAIR); ASHA MUKHERJEE; ANAT BILETZKI; JOÃO VILA-CHÃ; JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF; NORIKO HASHIMOTO; LOURDES VELAZQUES; SEON-WOOK KIM.
4. **The Committee on Intercultural Research in Philosophy.** Prof. DANCA presented the work of this committee. After this the committee was constituted with the following members: WILHELM DANCA (CHAIR); CHOLPON ALIEVA; EDUARDO BERMUDEZ; SUN XIANGCHEN; ANKE GRANESS; WILLIAM SWEET; WOLFGANG KALTENBACHER; ROBIN WANG; GAIL PRESBEY.
5. **The Gender Committee.** The work of the Gender committee was summarized by Prof. THORGEIRSDOTTIR. A discussion emerged about the sponsorship of the Simone de Beauvoir lecture. A general principle is that the organizers of the special lectures are themselves responsible for financing these lectures. After this the gender committee was constituted with the following members: ASHA MUKHERJEE; ANAT BILETZKI; MARIA BAGHRAMIAN; FABRIZIA GIULIANI; DERMOT MORAN; LUCA SCARANTINO; SIGRIDUR THORGEIRSDOTTIR.
6. **International Cooperation and Philosophical Encounters.** This committee has not been very active lately. Therefore, it was suggested to revitalize the committee through the members of the Working Group on Communication. Thus, the committee was constituted with the following members: JOÃO VILA-CHÃ (CHAIR); BALAGANAPATHI DEVARAKONDA; SUWANNA SATHA-ANAND; ANAT BILETZKI; BALAGANAPATHI DEVARAKONDA.
7. **The Committee on Human Rights.** Prof. BILETZKI explained the activities of this committee and what the committee had done in the past. After this, the committee was constituted with the following members: ANAT BILETZKI (CHAIR); YU-SUK SUH; JOHANNA KUÇURADI; LUCA SCARANTINO; DERMOT MORAN; JURE ZOVKO; ASHA MUKHERJEE;

MARIA BAGHRAMIAN; LOURDES VELAZQUES; SIGRIDUR THORGEIRSDOTTIR;
BALAGANAPATHI DEVARANKONDA, SAMI PIHLSTRÖM, MIGUEL GIUSTI, MALIK
BENDOUDA

8. The Committee on Aesthetics. Prof. Seel explained about this committee. Prof. Hashimoto emphasized the importance of making Aesthetics a priority of the Next Congress in Japan. The committee was constituted with the following members: GERHARD SEEL, NORIKO HASHIMOTO; IVAN KOLEV; DERMOT MORAN; PETER JONKERS.
9. THE COMMITTEE ON COMMUNICATION. THE COMMITTEE OF COMMUNICATION WAS CONSTITUTED WITH THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS: MALIKA BENDOUDA; ANAT BILETZKI; WILHELM DANCA; BALNAGANAPATHI DEVARAKONDA; IVAN KOLEV; ASHA MUKHERJEE; JOÃO VILA-CHA (CHAIR).

12) IPO 2025 (International Philosophy Olympiad)

Prof. Scarantino reported on the preparations of the IPO, hosted in Bari, Italy by the University of Bari. The official delegation of FISP will include President of FISP HEISOOK KIM, Secretary General JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF AND Prof. SUN XIANGCHEN. The dates will be Mar 15-18, 2025, in Bari, Italy.

13) Planning the next CD meeting in Brazil in November.

Prof Salles presented the CD-Meeting in Brazil in November 2025. The meeting will take place at Universidade federal da Bahia, Faculdade de filosofia e ciencias humanas, departamento de filosofia, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil, from November 24 to 28 2025. The basic structure of the event is as follows:
November 23. The arrival of CD members; November 24. Conference opening, in the morning; November 26. CD Meeting. The FISP CD meeting can start on the 25th or finish on the 27th; November 28. Conference ending; November 29. The leaving of CD members, who may stay longer for touristic or academic purposes. The sightseeing will be likely on Thursday, the 27th. A special dinner will be likely on Tuesday, the 25th.

14) Varia

There was not any particular point to be inscribed in the minutes in the varia section of the meeting.

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MINUTES: SECRETARY-GENERAL JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF (ON THE BASIS OF MEETING NOTES PREPARED BY SECRETARY HELENA SEEL)



FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE DES SOCIÉTÉS DE
PHILOSOPHIE INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF
PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETIES

www.fisp.org

Version française :

COMPTE RENDU DE LA RÉUNION DU COMITÉ DIRECTEUR

Fujairah, 22-23 février 2025

(22 février : 10h15-16h00 ; 23 février : 9h30-12h30)

La réunion du CD s'est tenue dans le hall principal de la Maison de la philosophie à Fujairah.

SAMEDI 22 FÉVRIER : 10h15-16h00

10h15-10h30 1. Le Comité de direction de la FISP a été ravi d'entendre le chaleureux discours de bienvenue d'AHMED SAIF SULTAN AL SAMAH, directeur de la Maison de la philosophie. Le président de la FISP, HEISOOK KIM, a remercié la FISP d'avoir été invitée à accueillir la réunion du CD à Fujairah. Les membres du CD ont exprimé leur gratitude pour la tenue de la réunion à Fujairah.

ORDRE DU JOUR

Après cette introduction, la réunion du CD s'est déroulée conformément à l'ordre du jour distribué précédemment.

10h30 2. Vérification des procurations

La réunion a débuté par la vérification des procurations. Les procurations étaient en règle. Les procurations reçues et envoyées au Secrétaire général pour la réunion de Fujairah comprenaient les suivantes :

SOULEYMANE BACHIR DIAGNE à ANKE GRANESS
ADRIANO FABRIS à LUCA MARIA SCARANTINO
EMIDIO SPINELLI à FABRIZIA GIULIANI
EMMANUEL PICAVET à JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF
GABRIEL VARGAS LOZANO à JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF

D'autres procurations ont été reconnues lors de la réunion. Ces procurations supplémentaires ont été vérifiées.

3. Approbation du procès-verbal de la réunion de Rome (6 août)

Le procès-verbal de la réunion du CD à Rome a été approuvé sans modification. Cependant, le compte rendu n'est pas encore disponible sur le site web de la FISP. Ceci a été constaté et une modification a été apportée lors de la mise à jour du site web.

10h30-10h45 4. Rapport du Président

Le rapport de Heisook Kim, président de la FISP, a été pris en compte et approuvé par les membres du CD. Le rapport écrit est disponible en annexe du présent compte rendu.

11h00-11h20 Après les rapports du Président et du Secrétaire général, un débat a eu lieu sur l'amélioration de la communication et du site web de la FISP. Les professeurs VILA-CHÃ, VILLARMEA et BAGHRAMIAN ont discuté de la nécessité de mettre à jour le site web et de ses modifications potentielles, ainsi que de son utilisation comme plateforme et outil de communication, tant entre eux qu'avec le reste du monde. Le professeur DEVARAKONDA a suggéré une séance de brainstorming pour renforcer la FISP. Le professeur GRANESS a suggéré d'inclure un site web adapté aux smartphones. Cette discussion a abouti à la proposition de créer un comité de communication : un groupe de travail chargé de définir le contenu du site web. Immédiatement après la discussion, ce point de l'ordre du jour a été clôturé par des propositions de volontaires souhaitant participer à un comité de communication, notamment les professeurs DEVARAKONDA, SANTHA-ANAND, BILETZKI et VILA-CHÃ, qui souhaitaient présider ce comité.

11h20-11h35 6) Rapport du Trésorier ; liste actualisée des sociétés membres.

Le rapport du Secrétaire général, Gerhard Seel, a été pris en compte et approuvé par les membres du CD. Le rapport écrit est disponible en annexe au présent procès-verbal. Le rapport du Trésorier comprenait une liste actualisée des sociétés membres. Ce rapport est joint en annexe au procès-verbal.

11h35-12h30 Remarques générales.

Après la présentation du rapport du Trésorier, une discussion a eu lieu sur la situation financière de la FISP.

Le Professeur Villarméa a lancé la discussion sur la gestion des membres non actifs et a demandé comment obtenir la liste des membres non actifs. Le Trésorier s'efforcera de fournir cette liste et s'efforcera de contacter les membres non actifs. Cependant, la FISP a pour politique générale de faire preuve de bienveillance envers ces sociétés, car elle souhaite protéger ses membres et promouvoir leurs activités futures.

Le professeur DE CARO a demandé comment récupérer l'argent qui n'était pas encore arrivé sur le compte de la FISP en raison de problèmes de virement bancaire. Ce problème était une priorité absolue pour le trésorier et le bureau de la FISP, qui s'employaient à le résoudre.

Le professeur MURPHY a exprimé son enthousiasme à l'égard de l'apport de la philosophie au monde arabe et l'importance de la collaboration avec la Maison de la philosophie a été unanimement reconnue. Dans ce contexte, il a été souligné qu'un objectif important de la collaboration avec la Maison de la philosophie était de promouvoir la philosophie dans le monde arabe et la philosophie arabe dans le monde.

Le professeur SATHA-ANAND a soulevé la question de savoir ce que la FISP avait réellement à offrir aux sociétés membres. Dans ce contexte, il est apparu clairement qu'il était important que la FISP représente des activités auxquelles tous les membres peuvent participer.

Le professeur VILLARMEA a demandé à quoi servait le reste des fonds de la Maison de la philosophie. Le professeur Scarantino a expliqué qu'une partie du don de la Maison de la philosophie a servi à financer la revue Diogène et à parrainer le Congrès mondial de Rome. D'autres fonds devraient servir à promouvoir la philosophie arabe dans le monde et à organiser des colloques et des rencontres philosophiques en lien avec la Maison de la philosophie, avec la participation de philosophes du monde entier.

Le professeur Devarakonda a mentionné qu'il existe peut-être une catégorie de membres non actifs et que les cotisations sont très élevées pour certaines sociétés membres. Il est important de noter que le pouvoir d'achat n'est pas le même dans toutes les régions du monde. Le professeur Graness a déclaré que les sociétés africaines de philosophie pourraient avoir besoin de cotisations plus basses et s'est également

inquiétude d'une éventuelle réduction des cotisations pour les pays défavorisés. Il a été précisé que la FISP applique déjà une politique de différenciation économique des cotisations.

Le professeur VILA-CHÃ a abordé la question de la transparence des comptes. Il a été proposé que la FISP établisse une distinction claire entre les dépenses et les investissements dans ses comptes. Les flux financiers devraient être plus transparents. Il a également été proposé que les sociétés et les membres à faibles revenus soient invités aux réunions du CD-FISP, avec le soutien de la FISP, si nécessaire. La patience dans le paiement des cotisations est un principe important. Le professeur PRESBEY a posé des questions sur les sociétés inactives et a demandé si la FISP leur offrait une chance si elles ne pouvaient pas payer leur cotisation. Il a été jugé important de leur offrir la possibilité de réintégrer la FISP en cas d'exclusion.

Le trésorier, le professeur GERHARD SEEL, a résumé le débat en répondant à toutes les questions et points de discussion. Le professeur SEEL a souligné que la liste des sociétés membres était jointe au rapport du trésorier. La FISP réglera le problème technique de transfert des fonds. La FISP dispose d'un compte spécial pour les dons. Le professeur SEEL établira à l'avenir, dans le rapport du trésorier, une distinction claire entre dépenses et investissements. Le trésorier est autorisé à réduire les cotisations versées par une société dans des circonstances exceptionnelles, une option qui a été utilisée occasionnellement. Comme l'a mentionné le professeur SCARANTINO et confirmé par le professeur SEEL, la FISP bénéficie d'une situation économique saine et de finances saines.

12h30-13h30 Pause déjeuner

13h30-14h10 7) Questions du 25e Congrès mondial

i. Commentaires pour l'évaluation

Le professeur RENDTORFF a présenté le thème de l'évaluation du 25e Congrès mondial de Rome. La question était de savoir ce que la FISP pouvait apprendre de ce congrès pour les conférences futures. L'ancien président, le professeur SCARANTINO, a indiqué qu'il y avait 5 700 inscrits, mais environ 5 000 participants. On peut se demander si nous avons atteint le nombre maximal de participants pour un congrès gérable. Le professeur SEEL a soutenu que la clé du succès du nouveau Congrès mondial de philosophie à Tokyo réside dans son financement. Il est important de disposer d'une base financière solide dès le départ et de préparer les bases financières et économiques du congrès dès le départ. Le professeur BAGHRAMIAN a soulevé la question de la taille excessive du congrès de Rome.

Les professeurs SEEL et RENDTORFF ont soulevé le problème du fait que le trésorier n'avait pas encore reçu les comptes définitifs du Congrès mondial de Rome. Il est important que la FISP les reçoive au plus vite, notamment pour servir de base à la planification financière du prochain congrès mondial. Le professeur DANCA a soulevé le problème de la traduction et de la langue du congrès. Un problème de langue se posait pour certaines conférences : elles étaient annoncées dans une langue et données dans une autre. Le professeur MURPHY a également soulevé le problème du pourcentage d'absentéisme. Le professeur SCARANTINO a répondu que ce pourcentage était de 6 % à Rome, ce qui était nettement inférieur à celui des congrès mondiaux d'Athènes et de Pékin. Un point crucial soulevé par le professeur MURPHY concernait l'absence de lieu public de rassemblement. Nous pourrions peut-être créer un lieu de rassemblement au Japon, connu du public. Le professeur SCARANTINO a souligné la nécessité de faciliter l'entrée au Japon des participants munis d'un visa. Il serait important que les organisateurs locaux entretiennent de bonnes relations avec les autorités japonaises pour faciliter ce processus.

Dans ce contexte, le professeur GIULIANI a souligné que la réussite d'un congrès repose sur une bonne coopération et le soutien et le parrainage de différentes institutions. Le professeur JONKERS a soulevé le problème de la traduction. Il a été convenu que le recours à des traductions informatiques était relativement efficace et bien moins coûteux que le recours à des traducteurs humains. Cette méthode de traduction pourrait servir de modèle pour le prochain congrès mondial. Le professeur KOLEV a proposé d'organiser certaines sessions en ligne, notamment la cérémonie d'ouverture, la séance de clôture et certains symposiums importants. Le sujet a été généralement jugé important, même si les membres du

CD ont souligné que le congrès est avant tout un événement de participation physique. Cependant, quelques sessions en ligne ou filmées pourraient servir de proposition ou de promotion pour la FISP.

Le professeur PIHLSTRÖM a soulevé le problème du manque de possibilités systématiques pour les jeunes d'utiliser le congrès pour trouver un nouvel emploi et nouer des contacts professionnels. Les jeunes estiment que la participation au congrès ne favorisera pas leur carrière universitaire. Comment pouvons-nous changer cela ? Le professeur VILLARMEA a souligné que la participation au WCP devenait moins intéressante pour les jeunes universitaires et risquait de compromettre leur carrière. Nous devrions nous pencher sur ce problème, non seulement pour les jeunes chercheurs, mais aussi pour nos collègues.

Le professeur VILA-CHÃ a insisté sur la nécessité d'harmoniser l'aspect coopératif. Nous ne devons pas tomber dans la compétition numérique ; en tant qu'organisation, nous devons privilégier la qualité à la quantité. Le professeur BENDOUDA a insisté sur la nécessité d'une traduction en arabe, par exemple pour annoncer la conférence dans une langue spécifique afin de cibler un public arabe spécifique et d'attirer davantage de chercheurs arabes.

Le professeur SATHA-ANAND a souligné l'importance d'une bonne inscription des participants. Il ne faut pas oublier que la participation est essentielle pour une carrière. Une fois que vous avez été conférencier, vous pouvez imprimer un certificat et l'utiliser pour votre promotion. Il est donc important de veiller à ce que seules les personnes ayant participé reçoivent un certificat. Nous devons veiller à préserver l'honnêteté. Seuls ceux qui prennent la parole devraient recevoir ce certificat. Il faudrait confier à quelqu'un la responsabilité de veiller à ce que seuls les auteurs/présentateurs reçoivent ce certificat.

Le professeur BERMUDEZ BARRERA a également proposé, avec le soutien de nombreux membres de la CD, de porter l'intervalle entre les congrès mondiaux de 5 à 4 ans. Considérant que le monde globalisé actuel est très différent de celui de 1948, lorsque la FISP proposait d'organiser le Congrès mondial tous les 5 ans, le professeur BERMUDEZ BARRERA a soumis à l'examen du Comité directeur la proposition d'organiser le Congrès mondial de philosophie tous les 4 ans (et une périodicité triennale pourrait même être envisagée). Cette proposition serait plus conforme à la mobilité et aux échanges universitaires actuels et permettrait aux générations plus âgées et plus jeunes de partager plus rapidement et plus fréquemment avec leurs collègues du monde entier. Cette proposition sera discutée lors de la prochaine réunion de la CD au Brésil.

En conclusion, l'évaluation du Congrès mondial de Rome a mis en évidence les points importants suivants : 1) Le congrès devrait être bien adapté au nombre de participants. 2) Nous devrions nous efforcer d'éviter et de réduire les salons actuels afin que les personnes qui ne participent pas puissent toujours participer au programme ou obtenir des certificats de participation. 3) Il est important de créer un espace où les participants peuvent se réunir pour des réunions et des rencontres sociales. 4) Le congrès devrait être plus ouvert aux réceptions et aux événements organisés par les sociétés membres et les sponsors afin de faciliter les interactions sociales. 5) Le congrès devrait créer un espace de carrière pour que les jeunes chercheurs et les institutions puissent se rencontrer à des fins d'emploi. 6) La traduction technique a bien fonctionné et sera introduite lors du 26e Congrès mondial à Tokyo. Cependant, dans certains cas, il pourra y avoir des traductions physiques. 7) Le congrès sera un événement physique avec seulement très peu de sessions en ligne, voire aucune. 8) La proposition de passer de la tenue de congrès mondiaux tous les 5 ans à tous les 4 ans a été accueillie favorablement par de nombreux membres du CD et sera discutée en détail lors de la prochaine réunion du CD au Brésil. 9) Nous attendons toujours les comptes financiers du Congrès mondial de Rome. Le CD de la FISP exhorte les organisateurs à les fournir dès que possible.

i. Actes du 25e Congrès mondial

La question de la forme de publication des actes du 25e Congrès mondial a été abordée. Le professeur SUH a souligné l'importance de la publication des articles et des présentations des symposiums les plus réussis. Le professeur RENDTORFF a soulevé le problème du fait que les présentations en tables rondes, les sessions invitées et certaines réunions de sociétés ne figurent jamais dans les actes publiés. Ce point devrait être un point d'attention pour le prochain Congrès mondial au Japon. Le professeur RENDTORFF a également

expliqué comment le Centre de documentation philosophique met en ligne les articles de la plupart des congrès mondiaux. Le professeur DE CARO a évoqué la coopération avec le Centre de documentation philosophique et a souligné que les articles seront publiés avec lui. Cependant, la question s'est posée de savoir dans quelle mesure les articles devraient être révisés avant publication. La réponse a été qu'une simple correction pourrait être envisagée, mais qu'une évaluation par les pairs supplémentaire ne serait pas possible. Le professeur PRESBEY a souligné que, le congrès mondial de Rome comportant 92 thèmes, ceux-ci devraient être organisés par thème. Un accord général a été trouvé sur cette structure, qui sera suivie par le comité de publication du Congrès mondial de Rome.

14:10 8) Préparation du Congrès mondial de philosophie 2028 :

Premières questions concernant la structure et les dates provisoires du Congrès

Protocole d'accord avec l'Association japonaise de philosophie

Présentation du comité d'organisation japonais (le cas échéant)

Le professeur RENDTORFF a présenté le point 8 de l'ordre du jour. Il a invité le professeur NOBURU à présenter l'état des lieux de l'organisation du prochain Congrès mondial de philosophie (Congrès mondial de philosophie de Tokyo 2028). Les dates du congrès seront du 16 au 23 août 2028 (déjà convenues avec l'université, mais flexibles d'un à deux jours). Le congrès se tiendra sur le campus de Hongo, à l'Université de Tokyo.

Le professeur RENDTORFF a présenté le projet de protocole d'accord avec le comité d'organisation japonais. Ce protocole d'accord a été examiné par le professeur NOBURU et, après discussion sur certains points clés, un protocole d'accord a été conclu. Il sera signé avec l'Université de Tokyo (Japon) lors de la réunion du comité de programme en septembre 2025. Il sera distribué aux membres du CD après sa signature en septembre 2025.

Choix du thème général du congrès

14h40 Le professeur NOBURU a présenté les discussions du comité d'organisation japonais sur le thème général du congrès mondial. Il a été souligné que le thème du « Dialogue » n'avait jamais été celui d'un congrès mondial de la FISP par le passé. La présentation du professeur NOBURU a suscité une discussion enrichissante au sein du CD de la FISP, et les membres du CD ont proposé de nombreuses versions des thèmes clés du congrès mondial, en s'appuyant sur le cadre proposé par le professeur NOBURU. Cette discussion a occupé le reste de la réunion de ce jour-là. Les membres du CD ont été invités à débattre du sujet en soirée et à soumettre des propositions au Secrétaire général avant la prise de décision générale le lendemain :

Parmi les propositions :

Prof. VILA-CHÃ : Paix, dialogue et lieu. Les contributions de la philosophie mondiale

Prof. MURPHY : Le dialogue comme lieu de paix

Prof. MURPHY : Dialogue ouvert en philosophie mondiale

Prof. BILETZKI : La philosophie : un lieu de paix

Prof. SEON-WOOK : « Placer la paix : vers une philosophie mondiale »

Prof. VILA-CHÃ : Paix, dialogue et lieu : les multiples contextes de la philosophie mondiale

Prof. BERMÚDEZ BARRERA : Dialogue ouvert en philosophie du monde

- Philosophie : vers l'harmonie

- Paix et avenir des sciences humaines

- Dialogue ouvert en philosophie du monde

Prof. NOBURU : « La philosophie du monde, espace de dialogue ouvert ».

Après la discussion, la réunion du CD est passée au point suivant de l'ordre du jour : nomination du Comité scientifique du Congrès, appelé Comité de programme. (Le Comité de programme est composé des membres suivants : a. un président du comité de programme ; b. cinq membres nommés par le Comité d'organisation national ; cinq membres élus par le CD de la FISP ; et quatre membres de droit : le président de la FISP, le secrétaire général de la FISP, l'ancien président de la FISP et le trésorier de la FISP.)

Les candidats nommés au comité de programme étaient les suivants : BENDOUDA, MALIKA ; BERMÚDEZ BARRERA, EDUARDO ; DE CARO, MARIO ; DEVARAKONDA, BALANGANAPATHI ; GIUSTI, MIGUEL ; GRANESS, ANKE ; JONKERS, PETER ; PICAVET, EMMANUEL ; SALLES, JOÃO CARLOS ; THORGEIRSDOTTIR, SIGRIDUR ; VILA-CHÃ, JOÃO J. ; VILLARMEA, STELLA ; WANG, ROBIN ; YU-SUK, SUH

Après une élection au cours de laquelle chaque membre du CD pouvait voter pour cinq candidats, les professeurs DE CARO ; GRANESS ; THORGEIRSDOTTIR ; SALLES et WANG ont été élus.

Le président KIM a ensuite nommé le professeur KALTENBACHER à la présidence du comité de programme.

16h00 Fin de la séance. La Maison de la philosophie a organisé une excursion touristique à Fujairah pour les membres du CD.

DIMANCHE 23 FÉVRIER : 9h30 - 12h30.

9h00 - 9h45 Suite de la discussion sur le titre du prochain congrès mondial de philosophie à Tokyo. Le professeur HEISOOK a dirigé la discussion. Après un débat intense, la FISP-CD a décidé de choisir « **La philosophie comme un lieu de dialogue ouvert** ». Après cela, tous les points du point 8 ont été traités.

9) Demandes d'adhésion (y compris le parrainage de conférences au nom de la FISP).

09h45-09h00 Le professeur RENDTORFF a annoncé que de nouvelles demandes avaient été reçues. Il a rappelé au CD le formulaire de demande d'adhésion, y compris les critères d'adhésion à la FISP. Plusieurs demandes d'informations sur les critères d'adhésion ont été formulées, mais les candidatures formelles sont désormais ouvertes.

La discussion sur les critères d'adhésion s'est poursuivie avec celle sur les critères de soutien des conférences par la FISP. Suite à un échange de courriels au sein du bureau, le professeur RENDTORFF a proposé les critères suivants pour l'évaluation des demandes de parrainage de conférences :

1. Qualité philosophique et scientifique de la conférence ; 2. Adhésion à la FISP ; 3. Implication des sociétés membres de la FISP ; 4. Implication des membres individuels de la FISP ; 5. Importance générale pour la philosophie dans le monde. Il a été suggéré que la FISP demande aux conférences et sociétés sollicitant des parrainages de clarifier leur position par rapport à ces critères d'évaluation. La décision finale pourrait bien sûr être prise en fonction des candidatures.

10) Archives de la FISP

Le professeur SCARANTINO a indiqué que les archives de la FISP sont conservées à Düsseldorf depuis le congrès de Düsseldorf il y a quelques années. Nous avons reçu le catalogue des documents, mais nous n'y sommes jamais allés ni n'y avons visité les archives. La FISP-CD devra assurer le suivi des archives lors des prochaines réunions.

09:50-10:10 : Pause de 20 min

11) Travaux des comités consultatifs de la FISP

Constitution de nouveaux comités après le renouvellement du CD à Rome

1. Comité de politique générale
2. Comité d'enseignement de la philosophie
3. Comité d'éthique des sciences et des technologies, en particulier de la bioéthique

4. Comité de recherche interculturelle en philosophie
5. Comité de genre
6. Comité de coopération internationale et de rencontres philosophiques
7. Comité des droits de l'homme
8. Comité d'esthétique
9. Comité de Communication

En plus un comité de communication était constitué.

Le professeur RENDTORFF a ouvert la discussion sur le renouvellement des membres du comité et a demandé des rapports sur la situation des différents comités.

1. Comité de politique générale. Le professeur KALTENBACHER a rendu compte des travaux de ce comité. Il a examiné le règlement intérieur et les règles d'élection. Les membres du comité directeur sont élus jusqu'à la prochaine période. Cela a servi de base aux propositions soumises à l'assemblée générale de la FISP pour réglementer les statuts et le règlement intérieur. Le professeur SEEL a mentionné qu'il est important de rappeler que la fonction de ces comités est purement consultative, donnant des conseils au secrétaire général, au président et au bureau : c'est le CD qui décide, ce dernier ayant autorité pour prendre les décisions finales.

2. Le Comité sur la philosophie de l'enseignement. Le professeur KOLEV a présenté un point sur les activités de ce comité.

3. Le Comité sur l'éthique des sciences et des technologies, en particulier la bioéthique. Le professeur RENDTORFF a présenté les activités de ce comité, en particulier celles relatives au congrès mondial, où une table ronde spéciale a été organisée. Le professeur BAGHRAMIAN a souligné l'importance de l'éthique de l'IA et la nécessité d'en discuter au sein du comité. Ainsi, l'IA a été ajoutée comme thème de recherche clé du comité. Après la discussion, le comité a été constitué avec les membres suivants : EMMANUEL PICAVET (PRÉSIDENT) ; ASHA MUKHERJEE ; ANAT BILETZKI ; JOÃO VILA-CHÃ ; JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF ; NORIKO HASHIMOTO ; LOURDES VÉLAZQUES ; SEON-WOOK KIM.

4. La Commission pour la recherche interculturelle en philosophie. Le Professeur DANCA a présenté les travaux de ce comité. Après cela, le comité a été constitué avec les membres suivants : WILHELM DANCA (PRÉSIDENT) ; CHOLPON ALIEVA ; EDUARDO BERMUDEZ ; SOLEIL XIANGCHEN ; ANKE GRANESS ; GUILLAUME DOUX ; WOLFGANG KALTENBACHER ; ROBIN WANG ; GAIL PRESBEY.

5. La Commission Genre. Les travaux du comité Genre ont été résumés par le professeur THORGEIRSDOTTIR. Une discussion s'engage sur le parrainage de la conférence Simone de Beauvoir. Un principe général est que les organisateurs des conférences spéciales sont eux-mêmes responsables du financement de ces conférences. Après cela, le comité genre a été constitué avec les membres suivants : ASHA MUKHERJEE ; ANAT BILETZKI ; MARIA BAGHRAMIAN ; FABRIZIA GIULIANI ; DERMOT MORAN ; LUCA SCARANTINO ; SIGRIDUR THORGEIRSDOTTIR.

6. Coopération internationale et rencontres philosophiques. Ce comité n'a pas été très actif ces derniers temps. Il a donc été suggéré de le revitaliser par l'intermédiaire des membres du groupe de travail sur la communication. Ainsi, le comité a été constitué avec les membres suivants : JOÃO VILA-CHÃ (PRÉSIDENT) ; BALAGANAPATHI DEVARAKONDA ; SUWANNA SATHA-ANAND ; ANAT BILETZKI ; BALAGANAPATHI DEVARAKONDA.

7. Le comité des droits de l'homme. Le professeur BILETZKI a expliqué les activités de ce comité et ses réalisations passées. Ensuite, le comité a été constitué avec les membres suivants : ANAT BILETZKI (PRÉSIDENT) ; YU-SUK SUH ; JOHANNA KUÇURADI ; LUCA SCARANTINO ; DERMOT MORAN ; JURE ZOVKO ; ASHA MUKHERJEE ; MARIA BAGHRAMIAN ; LOURDES VÉLAZQUES ; SIGRIDUR THORGEIRSDOTTIR ; BALAGANAPATHI DEVARAKONDA, SAMI PIHLSTRÖM, MIGUEL GIUSTI, MALIK BENDOUDA

8. Le Comité d'esthétique. Le professeur Seel a expliqué ce comité. Le professeur Hashimoto a souligné l'importance de faire de l'esthétique une priorité du prochain congrès au Japon. Le comité était constitué des

membres suivants : GERHARD SEEL, NORIKO HASHIMOTO ; IVAN KOLEV; DERMOT MORAN; PETER JONKERS.

9. Le Comité de Communication. Le Comité de Communication a les membres suivants : MALIKA BENDOUDA; ANAT BILETZKI; WILHELM DANCA; BALNAGANAPATHI DEVARAKONDA; IVAN KOLEV; ASHA MUKHERJEE; JOÃO VILA-CHA (CHAIR).

12) IPO 2025 (Olympiade internationale de philosophie)

Le professeur Scarantino a rendu compte des préparatifs de l'introduction en bourse, organisée à Bari, en Italie, par l'Université de Bari. La délégation officielle de la FISP sera composée de son président, Heisook Kim, de son secrétaire général, Jacob Dahl Rendtorff, et du professeur Sun Xiangchen. La réunion aura lieu du 15 au 18 mars 2025 à Bari, en Italie.

13) Planification de la prochaine réunion du CD au Brésil en novembre.

Le professeur Salles a présenté la réunion du CD au Brésil en novembre 2025. La réunion se tiendra à l'Universidade federal da Bahia, Faculdade de filosofia e ciencias humanas, departamento de filosofia, Salvador (Bahia), du 24 au 28 novembre 2025. Le déroulement de l'événement est le suivant :

23 novembre. Arrivée des membres du CD ; 24 novembre. Ouverture de la conférence, le matin ; 26 novembre. Réunion du CD. La réunion du CD de la FISP peut commencer le 25 ou se terminer le 27 ; 28 novembre. Fin de la conférence ; 29 novembre. Départ des membres du CD, qui peuvent prolonger leur séjour pour des raisons touristiques ou académiques. La visite touristique aura probablement lieu le jeudi 27. Un dîner spécial sera probablement organisé le mardi 25.

14) Divers

Aucun point particulier n'a été inscrit au compte rendu dans la section Divers de la réunion.

RESPONSABILITÉ DU COMPTE-RENDU : LE SECRÉTAIRE GÉNÉRAL JACOB DAHL RENDTORFF (SUR LA BASE DES NOTES DE RÉUNION PRÉPARÉES PAR LA SECRÉTAIRE HELENA SEEL)

REPORT BY THE PRESIDENT OF FISP, PROF. HEISOOK KIM.

Opening of the Meeting

Good morning, everyone,

Before we begin our meeting, I would like to welcome you all to the first in-person CD meeting. I am Heisook Kim, the newly elected President of FISP. This is not only our first in-person CD meeting but also the first time that the President, the Secretary General, and the Board are together to personally welcome the CD members.

It is an honor and a privilege for me to serve FISP as President and to work alongside distinguished philosophers from around the world.

Now, I officially declare this meeting open.

As we begin, I would like to take a moment to express my gratitude to our hosts in the United Arab Emirates, the Philosophy House, and Director Ahmed Saif Sultan Al Samahi, as well as Ms. Amani Al Dabbas, for organizing our meeting and preparing for the upcoming conference. And finally let me introduce Prof. Jacob Rendtorff, our Secretary General, who will facilitate today's agenda.

Report of the President

This is my first President's Report to the Steering Committee of FISP. I was elected President on November 8, and my term will continue until the end of the 26th World Congress of Philosophy. I would like to once again thank the Board of FISP, the Steering Committee, IAPh (International Association of Women Philosophers), the Korean Philosophical Association, and all our member societies, associations, and institutes for their support and encouragement.

I have received invaluable advice from former Presidents Dermot Moran and Luca Scarantino. I have also had great support from Secretary General Jacob Rendtorff and Vice President Peter Yonkers. Special thanks go to our current host, the Philosophy House, its director Ahmed Saif Sultan Al Samahi, and Ms. Amani Al Dabbas for their hospitality and organizational support.

My report consists of three parts:

1. Summary of the Past Three Months

Over the past few years, the President of FISP has sent a letter to celebrate

World Philosophy Day. Former President Luca Scarantino continued this tradition, sending an annual letter to all member societies, associations, and institutes of FISP. On November 22, I also sent a letter to mark the occasion, reaffirming FISP's commitment to raising awareness about the importance of philosophical inquiry and reflection.

On December 10, we held a special Zoom CD meeting, during which we elected Prof. Jacob Rendtorff as Secretary General and finalized the agenda for the Fujairah CD meeting. Since then, there have been several Zoom meetings among the Executive Committee members and the Bureau to discuss the CD meeting agenda and the joint conference program.

2. World Congress of Philosophy 2028 in Tokyo

Prof. Noburu Notomi (Chair of the Japanese Organizing Committee, JOC) sent us an outline of the preparations for WCP 2028 and the preliminary decisions made by the Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies (JFPS). The JOC operates under the JFPS, which is chaired by Prof. Yasushi Kato.

Venue: Hongo Campus, University of Tokyo (as proposed at the General Assembly in Rome)

Date: August 16–23 (or August 17–24), 2028

Host: JFPS (Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies), chaired by Yasushi Kato

JFPS consists of six major philosophical societies in Japan, the largest being the Philosophical Association of Japan (President: Noburu Notomi). JFPS is a member society of FISP.

A Memorandum of Agreement will be signed between FISP and JFPS. The JOC has proposed holding the first Program Committee meeting in Tokyo in September/October 2025.

3. *Diogenes* and FISP's Relationship with CIPSH

Former President Luca Scarantino made significant efforts to continue the tradition of *Diogenes*. On behalf of FISP, I would like to express my deep appreciation for his contributions over the past years. However, the agreement between CIPSH (Conseil International de la Philosophie et des Sciences Humaines) and FISP will conclude at the end of 2024. Moving forward, CIPSH will manage the editorial board, in which FISP can continue to participate.

On January 22, Secretary General Jacob Rendtorff and I met via Zoom with the President of CIPSH, Luiz Oosterbeek, to discuss the future of our collaboration. The key points from our discussion are as follows:

FISP is a founding member of CIPSH, and CIPSH values FISP's continued involvement. CIPSH currently consists of 21 member societies and aims to expand by incorporating university research centers and individual associations as non-voting affiliates.

CIPSH is organizing an international conference on the humanities in 2027 or 2028, and it is important for FISP to be actively involved. Additionally, CIPSH welcomes collaboration on World Philosophy Day.

FISP has a representative on the Board of CIPSH and participates in its General Assemblies. Prof. McBride currently serves on the CIPSH Board and will remain in this position until he steps down. FISP's representative may also participate in the CIPSH Steering Committee.

CIPSH has a strategic partnership with UNESCO, particularly concerning the CIPSH Chairs, and meets with UNESCO representatives monthly. While CIPSH previously had offices within UNESCO, it now operates from its own office in Paris.

In recent years, communication between FISP and CIPSH has been limited. CIPSH is currently seeking a new publisher and management structure for *Diogenes*, with plans to resume publication in 2026.

The French edition of *Diogenes* will continue under the editorship of Nicole Albert, who recently received payment for her work.

CIPSH encourages FISP's active participation in its Board, conferences, and the *Diogenes* editorial board, which currently consists of about 20 members. Additionally, FISP members may attend CIPSH conferences and participate in the General Assembly.

We confirm that the management of *Diogenes* will return to CIPSH as of January 1, 2025, in accordance with the provisions of the Memorandum and prior correspondence.

4. Other Updates

- The International Philosophy Olympiad (IPO) will take place from May 15 to May 18 in Bari, Italy.

- Prof. João Carlos Salles proposed to FISP that the philosophical community of Brazil host the next CD meeting. The Board approved the proposal. The next meeting of the Steering Committee will be held in Salvador from November 24 to 28, 2025.



FÉDÉRATION INTERNATIONALE DES SOCIÉTÉS DE PHILOSOPHIE
INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETIES

www.fisp.org

Meeting of the FISP-CD, Fujairah, 22-23.2.2024

Report from the Secretary General

I am very grateful to the CD- of FISP for having elected me as Secretary General of FISP. I started immediately my activities as Secretary General after the first on-line meeting of the newly elected CD and president on December 13th, 2024.

In addition to the activities undertaken together with the president of FISP, Professor Heisook Kim, with whom I have had an excellent collaboration, I would like to mention some of the tasks of the Secretary General that I have worked on.

My first task was to update the list of the CD of members of the FISP together with Susanne Mueller-Grote from the Philosophy documentation Center. I would like to express my thanks to Susanne Mueller-Grote who updated the website and to all CD-members who contributed with their updated CVs to the website. Now, only a few CVs are missing. See <https://www.fisp.org/board-and-steering-committee>

The second task was to prepare the invitations to the FISP-philosophy conference that will come after this meeting of the FISP-CD. Professor Peter Jonkers had very important ideas of philosophical dialogue and was instrumental in organizing the conference. The aim of the conference is not least to facilitate philosophical exchanges between different philosophical traditions, nationalities and cultures. We will have 21 presentations so it will be a very rich conference demonstrating many different perspectives on philosophical reflections. I hope that speakers and listeners will benefit from those exchanges. And it is

important to stress that the aim of the conference is not only presentation of positions and philosophical approaches of members of the FISP-CD, but indeed also to open for philosophical dialogues about key challenges of philosophy today, so please leave room for questions and answers.

The third task was to prepare the agenda and program of this first meeting of CD here in Fujairah after the World Congress in Rome. This meeting is of special importance since we will lay the foundations of our work towards the 26th world congress. The aim of the meeting is to determine the theme of the congress and to prepare the Memorandum of agreement (MOA) between the FISP-CD and the Japanese Organizing committee (JOC). I have prepared a suggested for the MOA, based on the previous customs of collaboration between FISP and local organizers. Professor Notomi Noburo has together with JOC suggested ideas for the theme that we will profoundly discuss, and already now the place and date of the conference is ready so we are right on the track of our preparations.

The fourth task was to announce the election of members of the program committee. To assist the JOC the FISP-CD selects a consultative program committee that prepares a draft of the program with the main topics, titles and speakers for the World Congress in Tokyo. 14 CD-members have been nominated and expressed their willingness to participate in the program committee. Only five and the chair of the program committee can be elected from the CD, so it is important to see the strong commitment of CD-members to the process of organizing the world congress. In this context, it cannot be emphasized enough that the program committee is consultative, and FISP-CD makes the final decisions. Here, it is important to stress that an open dialogue and exchanges of ideas between different CD-members and program committee members will help to make the world congress as successful as possible.

The fifth task has been to call for renewal and update of activities and membership of the FISP-committees. These committees consist of important possibilities for CD-members to work together and to create

networks on specific themes of philosophy. We have according to our rules 7 committees. 1. The Committee on General Policy; 2. The Committee on Teaching Philosophy; 3. The Committee on the Ethics of Science and Technologies, especially Bioethics; 4. The Committee on Intercultural Research in Philosophy; 5. The Committee on International Cooperation and Philosophical Encounters; 6. The Committee on Human Rights; 7. The Committee on Aesthetics. These committees have in the past been very active, but when I looked on the website, I realized that many of the indicated members are no longer member of the CD or highly active in the committees. It is therefore important to use some time on the reports from the committees and discuss how to invite new members of the committees (including members who are not members of the CD).

Task number six that I would like to mention is the administration and management of the member societies of FISP. We have updated the list of member societies of FISP, and five societies have been added to the list of members of the FISP. We will in the future work to make an update on the website of FISP of the most important information of the philosophical societies that are members of FISP.

The agenda of meeting documents that I have prepared for this CD-meeting in Fujairah reflect these concerns and activities of the two first months of my work as secretary general.

Jacob Dahl Rendtorff
Secretary General of FISP.

REPORT OF THE TREASURER

to the Steering Committee of FISP
during its meeting in Fujairah 2025
covering the period 30.06.2024-1.02.2024

1 EUR (Euro) = 0.96 CHF (Swiss Franc)
1 USD (US-Dollar) = 0.91 CHF (Swiss Franc)
on the 31st Dec.2024

Preliminary Remark

My report covers a very short period of time and can therefore be rather short. The main positive development that occurred in this period was the signature of a partnership agreement with the Philosophy House of Fujairah and the considerable financial support we received from the Philosophy House as a consequence of this cooperation. This support is bound to certain purposes and projects. To allow these financial operations, I open a special account with our bank UBS. In fact, we received **193 099.- CHF** as support of the World Congress and as support of our editorial activities. A third payment of **145 000.- Euro** as funding of WCP sponsorship and branding could not yet be executed due to technical problems of our banks. The main negative development I have to report is the fact that on a decision of the board of CIPSH we had to end our responsibility for the edition of the journal *Diogenes* and give it back into the hands of CIPSH (see my Final Financial Report on *Diogenes*).

1. The Financial Situation

1.1 Overview

On June 30, 2024 the **GENERAL ASSETS OF FISP** amounted to **174'199 CHF**. On February 1st 2025 they amounted to **302'015 CHF**. This amount is composed of **224'907.- CHF** kept on our accounts and **77'108.- CHF** kept in the two investment-fonds. This corresponds to a gain of

127'816.- CHF. This gain is largely due to the payments by the Philosophy House mentioned above.

Income

As I already emphasized, the most important income of FISP went from the payments made by the Philosophy House. They amount to **193 099.- CHF.** We also received membership fees paid by our member societies. Summa summarum, this amounts to a total income of **210 382.- CHF.**

1.3 Expenditures

Normally the main expenditures of FISP are the travel subsidies. However, due to the WCP in Rome which we supported financially and due to the extra costs caused by the management of *Diogenes* we had two unusual expenditures during the last six month. All together we spent **71 345.-CHF** in this period. As in the past, we lost money because of the weakness of the Euro and the Dollar against the CHF.

2. The journal *Diogenes*

As I mentioned before, with the end of the year 2024 we finished our management of the journal *Diogenes* on demand of CIPSH. We handed the journal over to CIPSH with a balanced final account. I wrote a Final Financial Report concerning the expenditures and the income during the period 2021-2024. Here are the most important figures of this report:

Total expenses 2021-2024	98 626.34 CHF
Total income 2021-2024	58 747.62 CHF
Overall balance	- 39 948.80 CHF
Input from FISP resources	39 948.80 CHF

3. The Funds for the endowed lectures

The situation of our funds for endowed lectures has not changed since my last report. We only registered minor losses due to banking costs.

4.Member Societies of FISP; payment of fees

On its last meeting the former CD accepted 5 new member societies.
This are:

- International Simone de Beauvoir Society: Erika Ruonakoski, erika.ruonakoski@helsinki.fi (President)
- The Hume Society: Margaret Watkins, mwatkin1@providence.edu (President) & Jason Fiset, jfiset@unr.edu (Secretary-Treasurer)
- The Egyptian Philosophical Society: Mustafa Hassan Al-Nashar, malnashar@hotmail.com (President)
- The International Society For Comparative Philosophy Toward World Philosophy (Cpwp): Bo Mou, bo.mou@sjsu.edu (President)
- The Ethiopian Philosophical Association: Bekele Gutema (bekele_gutema@yahoo.com), President

For the rest of our member societies compare the list joined to this document. The payment situation has slightly improved since my last report. As expected, to preserve their voting right some societies have made a last-minute payment in Rome.

-

Our contact with the member societies should be improved. For this the new web-page www.fisp.org will be helpful. We ask that address changes and changes in the board of a society be communicated quickly to the treasurer (gseel@net2000.ch) and the secretary Helena Katharina Seel (helena.seel.constantin@gmail.com).

Neuchâtel, 1st of February 2025
Seel

Prof.em. Gerhard
Treasurer

**MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT
concerning the preparation and implementation
of the 26th World Congress of Philosophy
to be held in Tokyo in 2028
between**

**the INTERNATIONAL FEDERATION OF PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETIES (FISP) and
Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies (JFPS), represented by The WCP 2028
Japanese Organizing Committee working under the JFPS.**

This Memorandum of Agreement is elaborated according to the FISP “World Congress Regulations” approved by the Steering Committee of FISP on February 23rd, 2025, in Fujairah, United Arab Emirates. The following clauses determine the responsibilities and obligations assumed by FISP and by the Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies (JFPS), represented by The WCP 2028 Japanese Organizing Committee working under the JFPS, for the organization of the 28th World Congress of Philosophy to be held in **Tokyo Wednesday 16 to Wednesday 23 August 2028 at the Hongo Campus of the University of Tokyo.**

I. General Remarks

1. The Congress is a joint endeavor of the Steering Committee of FISP and the Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies (JFPS), represented by The WCP 2028 Japanese Organizing Committee (JOC) working under the JFPS, with specific roles for each. FISP assumes responsibility for the scientific aspects of the World Congress, whereas the financial and logistic arrangements are mainly the responsibility of the Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies (JFPS), represented by The WCP 2028 Japanese Organizing Committee working under the JFPS, as the local organizer at the host institution, which is the University of Tokyo.
2. The tasks of the WCP 2028 Japanese Organizing Committee working under the JFPS are defined in article 8 of FISP World Congress Regulations. They comprise all the work involved in preparing and organizing the Congress at its venue. The membership and chairpersonship of the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC shall be established by the host institution. The chair of the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC is responsible to FISP for the smooth running of the Congress.
3. All matters relating to the scholarly aspects of the Congress shall fall within the competence of the Steering Committee, as indicated in art. 7 of FISP World Congress Regulations.
4. The dates of the Congress will be set by the Steering Committee of FISP upon proposal of the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC. Proposals to shift beyond 2028 shall be sufficiently motivated and approved by the Steering Committee of FISP.
5. The Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC will guarantee unrestricted freedom of

participation, access, and expression for all scholars, irrespective of race, nationality, gender, religion, political opinion, or other such ground.

6. The venue of the Congress is the University of Tokyo, as suggested by JOC. The modalities and conditions to provide suitable premises, physical facilities, multimedia equipment, and free wifi access to the internet for the Congress will be defined in a technical document to be drafted by the University of Tokyo and the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC, in agreement with FISP. All relevant information will be made available to each part upon request.

7. The President of FISP is ex officio the President of the Congress. In this capacity she supervises the preparation of the Congress, presides over it during the whole of its duration, and signs the necessary documents, including the official invitations.

II. Philosophical Programme

8. According to the deliberations made by the Steering Committee of FISP on February 23rd, 2025, in Fujairah, in light of relevant proposals made by member societies of FISP, the general theme of the Congress is:

Philosophy as a Place for Open Dialogues

Any further revision or change shall be approved by the Steering Committee of FISP.

9. Five members of the International Programme Committee of the Congress shall be appointed by the Steering Committee of FISP upon proposal of the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC. The President and the Secretary-general of FISP are members ex officio of the International Programme Committee without a vote, along with the Treasurer and the Past President. In case a member of the Committee had to be replaced, the Steering Committee of FISP will fill the corresponding position through a by-election. In case the vacancy involved one of the members proposed by the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC, the Steering Committee will ask the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC to propose a new member.

10. The Programme Committee is responsible for drafting the structure of the philosophical programme of the Congress and the list of invited speakers. It will take into consideration relevant proposals made by member societies of FISP as well as by the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC, the importance of maintaining a high level of quality in the work of the Congress, the major concerns and emerging trends in philosophical research, the plurality of methodological approaches, along with a fair gender balance and geographical distribution.

11. Overall supervision and final decision on the work of the Programme Committee are the task of the Steering Committee. All Programme Committee's proposals shall be submitted to the consideration and final approval of the Steering Committee, unless the

Programme Committee has been previously authorized to decide.

12. The programme of the Congress should be such as to:

- a. allow a variety of philosophical approaches,
- b. permit different geographical regions to make their contributions,
- c. allow interactions with philosophically relevant contributions of experts in other disciplines, and
- d. provide participants with a special experience of philosophical and intellectual work carried out throughout the scholarly communities of Japan.

13. There will be at least two general calls for papers in addition to those invited for plenary sessions and symposia. Contributed papers submitted for the Congress shall be examined under the responsibility of the Chair of the relevant section. Each paper will either be accepted for inclusion in the relevant Section of the Congress, recommended for revision, rejected, or referred to another panel. Communication with scholars submitting papers, and with the Chairs of the relevant Sections, shall be assured by the JOC. These decisions may be reviewed by the Executive Committee upon request.

14. The logo, posters, circulars, and schedule of the Congress will be drafted by the JOC and submitted to the approval of the Steering Committee.

15. An Executive Committee shall be appointed by the Steering Committee for the period after the last meeting of the Programme Committee and throughout the Congress. It will consist of one Chair and four members, of whom two will be designated by the host institution. The Executive Committee will take over the work, under the conditions previously defined, of the International Programme Committee, and will oversee collaboration between FISP Steering Committee and the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC.

III. The Finances of the Congress

16. The Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC is responsible for the financial, technical, and logistic organization of the Congress. It assumes all the costs and the tasks needed for the preparation and organization of the Congress at the site at which it will be held, as well as such tasks as may remain after the Congress, as far as they are not the responsibility of the Executive Committee. The Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC and FISP will make every effort to find the funding needed to make the Congress successful.

17. FISP and the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC agree that the fees for registration will be decided based on mutual agreement between the JOC and FISP and be subject to change based on further mutual agreements. The possibility of online registration and payment of relevant fees shall be assured.

18. The Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC will pay back to FISP a sum equivalent to 10% (ten percent) of the global registration revenue. The payment will be made through bank transfer to FISP's official account in Switzerland, not later than 90 (ninety) days after the closing of the World Congress. This return payment would not apply if the overall balance of the Congress ends in a loss; in case the return payment would lead to an overall

deficit it should be reduced accordingly.

19. A financial plan shall be drafted by the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC in collaboration with the Treasurer, the Secretary-general, and the President of FISP. The Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC agrees to be responsible for the following expenses in particular:

- (a) Accommodation and travel expenses for all members of the Programme Committee and for FISP Administrative Secretary for at least three meetings (3 days each) of the Programme Committee, as well as for all members of the Executive Committee and for FISP Administrative Secretary for at least two meetings (3 days) of the Executive Committee prior to the 26th World Congress.
- (b) Accommodation (lodging and meals) during the World Congress for the members of the Steering Committee and Bureau of FISP, including the Honorary Presidents of FISP, for the chairpersons and invited speakers of the plenary sessions and symposia, for two official FISP auditors, two representatives of the International Institute of Philosophy, and FISP Administrative Secretary.
- (c) Travel to and from Tokyo for the World Congress for the chairpersons and invited speakers of the plenary sessions and symposia, for the members of the Bureau and the Programme Committee, and for FISP Administrative Secretary. The Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC will do its utmost to help fund travel expenses for all members of the Steering Committee, including the Honorary Presidents of FISP.
- (d) Early preparation of a Congress website which will be updated at each stage of the planning. The website shall include all relevant information concerning the programme, calls for papers, and circulars of the Congress, its logistics, travel and accommodation arrangements, fees, and any other information that may be useful to potential participants. It should equally be able to handle online submission of papers and registration, including electronic payment of registration fees.
- (e) Publication, posting and wide distribution of the Congress poster and circulars in the official languages of FISP.
- (f) Publication, after the conclusion of the Congress, of the Proceedings of the Congress.

IV. Varia

20. The Chairperson of the Japanese Organizing Committee – JOC, appointed by the Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies upon consultation with FISP, shall be the spokesperson of the host country in all official relations with the governing bodies of FISP.

21. All circulars and other announcements regarding the Congress should be approved by the President of FISP and the Chairperson of the JOC, in agreement with the University of Tokyo.

22. English, French, German, Spanish, Russian, Mandarin Chinese, Arabic, and Japanese are the official languages of the Congress. The theme of the Congress shall be displayed at the opening and closing ceremonies in these languages. Every speaker may present his or her paper in one of these eight languages. The host country undertakes to provide simultaneous translations in all of the eight Congress languages for the opening and closing sessions and

for all the plenary sessions, the symposia, and the endowed lectures of the World Congress.

23. The implementation plan for the organization of the 26th World Congress of Philosophy will be proposed by the JOC to the Steering Committee of FISP.

24. The signatures of this document by the President, the Secretary-general, and the Treasurer of FISP, by the University of Tokyo, and by the Chair of Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies constitute the final approval by FISP, the University of Tokyo, and the Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies (JFPS) of the current agreement, on

The basis of which the invitation to host the 26th World Congress of Philosophy in Tokyo in 2024 is formally agreed upon by FISP.

Tokyo, 2025

The University of Tokyo

The President

(Prof. Teruo FUJII)

International Federation of Philosophical Societies

The President

(Prof. Heisook KIM)

Japanese Federation of Philosophical Societies (JFPS)

The Chair

(Prof. Yasushi KATO)

Japanese Organizing Committee (JOC)

The Chair

(Prof. Noburu NOTOMI)

International Federation of Philosophical Societies

The Secretary-general

(Prof. Jacob Dahl RENDTORFF)

International Federation of Philosophical Societies

The Treasurer

(Prof. Gerhard SEEL)